From Hack to Elaborate Technique A Survey on Binary Rewriting

Matthias Wenzl, Georg Merzdovnik, Johanna Ullrich, Edgar Weippl





Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies

www.ffg.at/comet

Survey Motivation

Binary Rewriting?

- Software is often distributed in binary form or needs to be changed during runtime.
- Originally inspired by the need to change parts of a program while software is executed.
- Nowadays, evolved into a plethora of approaches with different application domains (e.g. Emulation, Observation, Optimization, Hardening).

Problem

- A plethora of different approaches and methods has led to the development of many different tools.
- However, because of this, it is not always easy to identify the right tool for the problem at hand.
- Additionally, the availability of tools and methods for specific purposes is not well studied.

Rewriting at a Glance



Transformations

Static perform alterations directly at instrumentation point (e.g. during link time)
Dynamic Able to perform changes at instruction granularity during runtime
Minimal-invasive operations on branch granularity, by redirecting control flow to newly generated code
Full-translation transform binaries at any instruction, but require lifting into Intermediate Representation (IR)



(b) Dynamic

Figure 1: Required steps to apply binary rewriting in principle.

4 Steps of Rewriting

- 1. **Parsing:** Extract instruction and data stream from binary objects for further analysis
- 2. Analysis: Provides information on builling blocks (e.g., disassembly, structural recovery or label, symbol and data type extraction)
- **3. Transformation:** Prepare instrumentation points and define alterations (e.g., to instructions or control flow)
- 4. Code Generation: Apply the intended changes into the binary of interest in a way to keep it executable

Figure 2: Sankey diagram further categorizing the publications listed in [1]. The adjacent row depicts the tool's application domain, followed by its used disassembly, structural recovery, and transformation strategy.



Full-translation-based schemes allow for application of reasoning approaches due to the more abstract representation of the binary under investigation.
 Currently only semantic equivalent lifters are available, which are sufficient for many applications.

- Scenarios like altering timing sensitive applications, performance optimization for throughput-oriented programs, or rewriting software with real-time requirements would greatly benefit from instruction equivalent lifters.
- The x86 architecture is still the primary target for binary rewriting applications, but other architectures like ARM and MIPS draw more and more interest.

[1] Matthias Wenzl, Georg Merzdovnik, Johanna Ullrich, and Edgar Weippl. From hack to elaborate technique—a survey on binary rewriting. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 52(3):1–37, 2019.



SBA Research (SBA-K1) is a COMET Centre within the framework of COMET – Competence Centers for Excellent Technologies Programme and funded by BMK, BMDW, and the federal state of Vienna. The COMET Programme is managed by FFG.